		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	narv	10/725,920	WOLF, ERICH
	iui y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Kaj K. Olsen	1753
All Participants:		Status of Application	·
(1) <u>Kaj K. Olsen</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Klicpera</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 June 2006		Time:	
	Applica No	nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
1, 9, 20 and 21			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. The separate r	e examiner will provide a ecord of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative on 6-2-2006 to suggest an amendment that render all the claims free of the prior art. The applicant's representative contacted the examiner on 6-5-2006 to approve of the changes with a modification that was approved by the examiner. The applicant's representative also generously emailed the examiner with a copy of the proposed amendment to the claims, which the examiner has incorporated into the enclosed examiner's amendment.